

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/779,039	02/08/2001		Gregory S. Friedrichs	AM100143	4428
25291	7590	03/09/2004		EXAM	INER
WYETH				MURPHY, JOSEPH F	
PATENT LAW GROUP FIVE GIRALDA FARMS				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MADISON,			1646		

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		KD.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/779,039	FRIEDRICHS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph F Murphy	1646
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
Period for Reply	DIVID OFT TO EVOIDE - M	ONTHIO FROM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thirt iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON stute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	<u> 3 January 2004</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,12 and 17-23</u> is/are pending in the	ne application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,12 and 17 is/are	withdrawn from consideration	n.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-23</u> is/are rejected.		·
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		, <i>,</i>
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	· ·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies not	receivea.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/26/2004 has been entered.

Formal Matters

Claims 6, 12, 17-23 are pending. Claims 6, 12, 17 stand withdrawn from consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(B). Claims 18-23 are under consideration.

Response to Amendment

The rejections over claims 1-5, 7, 9-11, 13-16 have been rendered moot by cancellation of the claims, and are thus withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 18, 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Deswal et al. (1999).

Deswal et al. teaches the selection of patients in need of therapy for an ischemic event in a mammal, and the administration of a TNF antagonist to treat said mammal. In this study

Eighteen NYHA class III heart failure patients were randomized into a double-blind dose-escalation study to examine the safety and potential efficacy of etanercept, a specific TNF antagonist (Enbrel) (Deswal at 3224). Etanercept contains 2 molecules of the extracellular domain of sTNFR2 linked to the Fc portion of the IgG1 molecule (Ibid. at 3225). Of the patients enrolled in the study, 4 had ischemic heart disease (Ibid. at 3225, Table I), thus claims 18, 20-23 are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deswal et al. (1999) in view of US Patent No. 5,696,109 (Malfroy-Camine et al.).

Application/Control Number: 09/779,039

Art Unit: 1646

Deswal et al. teaches the selection of patients in need of therapy for an ischemic event in a mammal, and the administration of a TNF antagonist to treat said mammal. In this study Eighteen NYHA class III heart failure patients were randomized into a double-blind doseescalation study to examine the safety and potential efficacy of etanercept, a specific TNF antagonist (Enbrel) (Deswal at 3224). Etanercept contains 2 molecules of the extracellular domain of sTNFR2 linked to the Fc portion of the IgG1 molecule (Ibid. at 3225). Of the patients enrolled in the study, 4 had ischemic heart disease (Ibid. at 3225, Table I). Deswal et al. does not teach the method wherein the ischemic event is caused by bypass surgery or transplantation. However, the '109 patent discloses that bypass surgery and transplantation are ischemic events. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to practice a method of the administration of a TNF α antagonist following an ischemic event wherein the ischemic event is bypass surgery or transplantation. The motivation is provided in the Deswal reference which teaches that TNF is a potentially important therapeutic target in heart failure patients (Deswal at 3226, first column, first paragraph). The expectation of success is provided in the Deswal reference which shows that etanercept can be given safely to heart failure patients (Deswal at 3226, second column, first paragraph).

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1646

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph Murphy whose telephone number is (571) 272-0877. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm. A message

may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone

are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on (571) 272-0871.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph F. Murphy, Ph. D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1646

February 24, 2004

Page 5